UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/575,005	04/06/2006	Warren Edward Roh	IDP-0401 US	7008
	7590 09/29/201 OF DALE B. HALLIN	EXAMINER		
	IN BOULEVARD SU	HELVEY, PETER N.		
COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80910			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3782		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/29/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/575,005	ROH, WARREN EDWARD	
Examiner	Art Unit	
PETER HELVEY	3782	

	PETER HELVEY	3782				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>24 August 2011</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.				
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, wwith 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (left)</li> </ul>	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.			
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	).					
extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee ave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as et forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, nay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	cause			
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> <li>(c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in bett</li> </ul>	sideration and/or search (see NO v);	ΓE below);				
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a continuation. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and		ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	. , ,	mnliant Amendment (	PTOL-324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpilant Amendment (	100 024).			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	rplanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. So	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but .	does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
/NATHAN J NEWHOUSE/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3782	/P. H./ Examiner, Art Unit 3782					

Continuation: the response filed 8/24/2011 is a duplicate of the response filed 3/4/2011 and has already been addressed and repsonded to by the Final Office Action of 5/24/2011. As such, the current After-final amendment does nothing to further prosection, will not be entered, and the applicant is directed to the 5/24/2011 Final Office Action for treatment of the issues.